

## Multi-Breasts of Artemis of Ephesus through a Plastic Surgeon's Lens

Kun Hwang <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Plastic Surgery, Armed Forces Capital Hospital*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine, Ewha Womans University*

**Abstract** : This paper reinterprets the multi-breasted form of Artemis of Ephesus from a comparative anatomical and anthropological perspective. The frontal, hieratic statue of the goddess, adorned with rows of abstract, nippleless breast-like protuberances, departs radically from human breast morphology yet reflects deep symbolic functions of fertility and nurturing. Drawing from plastic surgical knowledge and anthropological theory, this analysis explores how Artemis's exaggerated chest defies anatomical realism while revealing a culturally constructed anatomy. The discussion engages with debates on the biological plausibility of polypagia, symbolic exaggeration in cultic iconography, and the implications for understanding the body as both physical form and cultural meaning. Artemis of Ephesus exemplifies a non-Western anatomical imagination—one that privileges reproductive symbolism over sexual aesthetics, and communal myth over biological norm.

**Keywords** : Breast, Art, Mythology, Surgery, Plastic

As a scholar situated at the intersection of anatomy, plastic surgery, and cultural anthropology, I approach the multi-breasted depiction of Artemis of Ephesus as both an anatomical anomaly and a symbolic exaggeration. The goddess's representation—featuring rows of protuberances traditionally interpreted as breasts—compels a reading that integrates evolutionary biology, comparative mammalian anatomy, and cultural iconography (Fig. 1, upper).

In contrast to the dynamic, athletic form of the Hellenic Artemis, the Ephesian version is frontal, columnar, and hieratic—emphasizing static power and divine order. The chest,

adorned with uniformly shaped and spaced rounded forms, appears deliberately removed from naturalistic anatomy. These features have long puzzled both anatomists and historians, as they defy the structural logic of the human mammary system [1].

From an anatomical and comparative standpoint, these structures defy mammalian norms: they lack areolae, nipples, ductal architecture, and integration with muscular or vascular systems. Their configuration—regular rows extending from clavicle to abdomen—bears more resemblance to high-fecundity mammals like rodents and pigs than to human

This work was supported by the Korean Military Medical Research Project funded by the ROK Ministry of National Defense (ROK-MND-2024-KMMRP-006).

The author(s) agree to abide by the good publication practice guideline for medical journals.

The author(s) declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

**Received:** July 11, 2025; **Revised:** November 4, 2025; **Accepted:** November 10, 2025

**Correspondence to:** Kun Hwang (Department of Plastic Surgery, Armed Forces Capital Hospital, 81 Saemaoul-ro, 177beon-gil, Bundang-gu, Seongnam-City, Gyeonggi-do 13574, Republic of Korea and Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, 260 Gonghang-daero, Gangseo-gu, Seoul 07804, Republic of Korea)

**E-mail:** [jokerhg@naver.com](mailto:jokerhg@naver.com)

anatomy. Yet in contrast to functional mammalian teats, the Ephesian Artemis has five breasts with nipples and areolar tissues (Fig. 1, lower). Ephesian breasts are inert and symbolic, more closely aligned with ideological function than biological realism [2].

Anthropologists and classical scholars continue to debate the true referent of these features: Are they idealized breasts,



**Fig. 1.** “Erichthonius Discovered by the Daughters of Cecrops” (1616) by Peter Paul Rubens. Upper: Statue of Artemis of Ephesus appears prominently in the background, unmistakably depicted in her multi-breasted, front-facing, rigid cult form. Lower: Artemis has five breasts with nipples and areolar tissues. Available at: <https://images.bestofbharat.com/2021/06/A14-184-450x365.jpg>

bull testicles, ritual gourds, or eggs? Each interpretation reveals a society projecting reproductive abundance and divine nourishment onto the female body. As a cultural artifact, Artemis’s chest can be read as a sacred exaggeration—what biological anthropologists might term a hyperplastic symbol of maternal provisioning (Table 1)[3].

What is striking from a plastic surgeon’s perspective is that these forms represent abundance and nurturing without eroticism. They exalt the generative and nourishing capacities of the female body while intentionally abstracting it from individualized beauty. In a time when breast aesthetics are often filtered through symmetry, size, and youthful contour, Artemis of Ephesus offers a radical departure: a breast that is entirely de-sexualized, yet maximally potent in its fertility symbolism [4].

To contemplate Artemis through this lens is to engage in a kind of reverse anatomical exercise—one in which the surgeon must unlearn the scalpel’s insistence on form and embrace instead the ritual, the mythical, and the symbolic. She is a reminder that breasts are not only anatomical but also metaphoric; not only sites of desire but also of power, sacrifice, and cultural identity [5].

In Artemis of Ephesus, we encounter not a misrepresentation of human anatomy, but a purposeful abstraction—an alternative morphology crafted to express divine fecundity and ritual power. Her chest functions as a symbolic amplification of the female reproductive role, constructed not for biological plausibility but for cultural resonance. From a biological anthropology standpoint, such exaggeration reveals how human societies have historically reimagined bodily features, particularly the breast, to encode collective values around fertility, nourishment, and cosmic order. Rather than a flawed anatomy, Artemis presents a transcendent anatomy—one that illuminates the ancient intersection of body, belief, and biological imagination. The statue of Artemis of Ephesus reminds us that human societies have historically reimagined the body not just as an anatomical

**Table 1.** Contrasting breast forms - medical, mythic, and mammalian

Feature	Modern surgical ideal	Artemis of Ephesus	Mammalian comparison
Number of breasts	Two	Up to 20+	6~16 in pigs, rodents, etc.
Location	Pectoral, symmetric	Vertical rows, central	Along milk lines
Anatomical detail	Areola, nipple, ptosis	Abstract, identical	Functional teats with areola
Function	Lactation, aesthetic	Symbolic fecundity	High offspring nourishment
Cultural role	Beauty, maternal care	Divine fertility symbol	Reproductive adaptation

reality, but as a symbolic language. Her chest, a paradox of biology and metaphor, is not a misrepresentation of anatomy but an intentional abstraction of fertility and power—an emblem of how form transcends flesh in human cultures.

## REFERENCES

1. Beard M. Goddess of Ephesus. In: Beard M, North J, Price S, editors. *Religions of Rome*. Vol. 1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994.
2. Roller LE. *In Search of God the Mother: The Cult of Anatolian Cybele*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1999.
3. Frayer-Griggs D. The beasts at Ephesus and the cult of Artemis. *Harv Theol Rev*. 2013;106:459-77.
4. Nahai F. *The Art of Aesthetic Surgery: Principles and Techniques*. St. Louis: Quality Medical Publishing; 2007.
5. Grotting JC, Neligan PC. *Plastic surgery: Volume 5: Breast*. Philadelphia: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2012.