

Original Article

Determination of the type-2 diabetes risk status of the individuals who applied to the internal diseases outpatient clinic

Kiyak Emine*, Olgun Nermin, Inan Cigdem

Ataturk University, Faculty of Health Science, Nursing Department, Erzurum, Turkey

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine the type-2 diabetes risk status of the individuals applying to the internal diseases outpatient clinic and the affecting factors. This descriptive study was conducted on 500 individuals who applied to the internal diseases department of a hospital in Ordu Turkey, between May and June 2010 without diabetes diagnosis. The questionnaire form and Type 2 Diabetes Risk Assessment Form are used to collect the data. For the evaluation of data; independent samples t-test and One-Way Anova are used to evaluate the data. It is determined that the type-2 diabetes risk an score of the individuals participating in the study is 10.4 ± 5.5 and 32.4% of them have low type-2 diabetes risk score, 26.6% have slightly elevated score, 18% have moderate score, 16.6% have high and 6.4% have very high type-2 diabetes risk score. It is found that the type-2 diabetes risk mean score of the women participating in the study who are adults, are married, have low education level, are unemployed, are non-smoker, do not drink alcohol and do not have any information about type-2 diabetes is statistically significantly high ($p < 0.05$). It is determined that 16.6% of the individuals participating in the study have high type-2 diabetes risk and 6.4% have very high type-2 diabetes risk.

Keywords type-2 diabetes, risk status

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a metabolic syndrome which is characterized with chronic hyperglycemia, causing the organism to create failures in carbohydrate, protein and fat metabolism because of insulin deficiency or the defects in the insulin action (Satman et al., 2009). Type-2 diabetes constitutes more than 90% of the diabetes cases getting the diagnosis throughout the world (Garduño-Díaz and Khokhar, 2007; Guler et al., 2011) type-2 diabetes is a global health crisis which threatens the economies of all the countries, especially the developing countries (Alberti et al., 2007; Hu, 2011; Mayor, 2007; Wu et al., 2011).

It is estimated that there are 285 million diabetic patients all around the world and diabetes is developing in seven million people every year and this number is anticipated to reach 438 million in 2030 (Hu, 2011). As of 2010, it is specified that approximately 26 million working-age American people have type-2 diabetes (Anderson et al., 2012). In China, the type-2 diabetes prevalence in 1980 was less than 1% and this ratio is 10% in 2008 (Hu, 2011). It is specified that type-2 diabetes incidence is rapidly growing in Turkey and the incidence is 300 thousand throughout the country and diabetes frequency in adults has reached 13.7% (Guler et al., 2011; Onat et al., 2006).

Diabetes causes severe organ damages and deaths (Alberti et al., 2007; Grundy, 2012; Thomas et al., 2012). Half of the renal function disorders have been caused by type-2 diabetes and diabetic renal disease is in the first place within the

terminal stage kidney diseases (Thomas et al., 2012). Around the world, it is specified that approximately 93 million people have diabetic retinopathy, 17 million people have advanced diabetic retinopathy, 21 million people have diabetic macular edema and 28 million people have diabetic retinopathy which causes visual defects (Yau et al., 2012). Type-2 diabetes causes coronary artery diseases, cardiac insufficiencies, strokes and deaths (Alberti, 2007; Cobble and Frederich, 2012). It is indicated that a second amputation ratio in a diabetic foot is 18% (Borkosky and Roukis, 2012).

Diabetes is a very-high cost disease (Alberti et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2012; Waugh et al., 2007). The total expense made for diabetics in Turkey is 1.1 billion dollars and every 10% increase in the complication frequency causes an increase of 180 - 190 million in the expenses per year (Satman et al., 2009).

Regarding to fighting with type-2 diabetes and the risk factors, policies are developed in all around the world and national action plans are prepared. The life style changes to be done for preventing type-2 diabetes are accepted as a cheap and easy method which can be applied socially (Alberti et al., 2007; Satman et al., 2009).

In order to prevent type-2 diabetes, at first the risk factors should be determined (Alberti et al., 2007; Kodama et al., 2012; Onat, 2007). Gender, age and ethnicity, family history, living style, smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension, waist circumference and obesity are among the important risk factors (Alberti et al., 2007; Hu, 2011; Onat et al., 2006; Salopuro, 2011). For diabetes, it is important to determine the individuals at risk without the disease symptoms in terms of maintaining and improving the health (Garduño-Díaz and Khokhar, 2007; Shi, 2011). Since the people-at-risk can be identified at the prediabetic stage of impaired glucose tolerance, it can be possible to prevent diabetes and its complications by taking early stage precautions (Alberti et al., 2007; Onat et al., 2007).

*Correspondence: Emine Kiyak

E-mail: frtemine@hotmail.com

Received April 7, 2014; Accepted August 22, 2014; Published August 31, 2014

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5667/tang.2014.0016>

© 2014 by Association of Humanitas Medicine

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license.

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>)

In the comprehensive studies conducted on the possibility of preventing or delaying type-2 diabetes; as well as the pharmacological agents, the success of life style changes is shown. It is demonstrated that type-2 diabetes may be prevented to a large extent such as 58% in the ethnic groups especially prone to diabetes with nutrition, exercise and body weight control (Alberti et al., 2007).

It is stated that the lifetime of patients will extend, the living quality will increase and economic burden will decrease by preventing or delaying type-2 diabetes (Alberti et al., 2007; Mayor, 2007). In the type-2 diabetes prevention plan, at first the high-risk groups should be determined and then the whole society should be targeted. For determining the high-risk groups in type-2 diabetes, practitioners, nurses and pharmacists may take in charge (Alberti et al., 2007; Mayor, 2007). The nurse, one of the important members of the medical team, can give a professional support to prevent type-2 diabetes by using research, training and consultancy roles. The nurse can determine the risk groups and effective factors for type-2 diabetes and give training and consultancy to this group and make a significant contribution to the prevention of type-2 diabetes.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate type-2 diabetes risk status and affecting factors of the individuals who applied to the internal diseases outpatient clinic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted on 500 individuals who applied to the Internal Diseases outpatient clinic of a hospital in Ordu (Turkey) between May and June 2010. During this period, 572 individuals were admitted to the internal medicine outpatient clinic. 72 patients did not provide the research inclusion criteria (15 patients refused to participate in the study and 57 patients were diabetics) were excluded from the study. The 18 year-old and older people who accepted to participate in the study and had no diabetes disease were included in the study.

An questionnaire form and type-2 diabetes Risk Assessment Form are used to collect data of the study. In the questionnaire form; there are 11 questions in total specifying the socio-demographic properties, smoking status, alcohol usage status and knowledge about type-2 diabetes of the participants. In order to determine the Type 2 Diabetes Risk, a Type 2 Diabetes Risk Evaluation Form created in parallel to "FINDRISK Risk Questionnaire", which is commonly used in our country and referred by The Society of Endocrinology and Metabolism of Turkey, was used. This form has totally 8 questions including the age, body mass index, waist circumference, exercise status, fruit and vegetable consumption, elevation of the blood pressure, whether or not the blood glucose level is high previously and the diabetes presence in the family for genetic transfer. If the total score in the form is 20 and more, this means there is a very high risk, 15 - 19 score is high, 12 - 14 score is moderate, 7 - 11 score is slightly elevated and score lower than 7 is low risk.

Independent samples t-test and One-Way Anova were used in order to evaluate data.

Ethical Considerations: The participants were informed about the study and their consent was obtained. Also a written permission was taken from the institution where the study was conducted.

RESULTS

Table 1. Type 2 Diabetes Risk Percentages Distribution and Risk Mean of the participants

Risk level	Number (n = 500)	(%)
Low	133	26.6
Slightly elevated	162	32.4
Moderate	90	18.0
High	83	16.6
Very high	32	6.4
Risk Mean $\pm$ SD	10.4 $\pm$ 5.5	(min: 0.0 max: 26)

It was determined that the type-2 diabetes risk mean score of the individuals participating in the study is 10.4 $\pm$ 5.5 and 32.4% of them had low, 26.6% had slightly elevated, 18% had moderate, 16.6% had high and 6.4% had very high type-2 diabetes risk (Table 1).

It was determined that the type-2 diabetes risk mean score of women was significantly higher than those of men ($p < 0.01$), type-2 diabetes risk mean score of the participants with increasing age was significantly increasing ($p < 0.001$), the type-2 diabetes risk mean score of married participants was significantly higher compared to the single participants ($p < 0.001$), type-2 diabetes risk mean score was significantly increasing ($p < 0.001$) with the decrease in education level, the type-2 diabetes mean score of unemployed participants was significantly higher ($p < 0.001$), the type-2 diabetes mean score of non-smoking participants was significantly high ($p < 0.05$), the type-2 diabetes mean score of the participants who do not use alcohol was significantly high ($p < 0.05$), and the type-2 diabetes mean score of the participants who had no knowledge about type-2 diabetes was significantly higher ($p < 0.01$). It was found that there was no significant difference between the income levels of the participants and the type-2 diabetes mean score ($p > 0.05$) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In type-2 diabetes prevention plan, it is specified that the high risk groups should be obtained in diabetes prevention program (Alberti et al., 2007). It is recommended for the high risk people in type-2 diabetes to make changes in their life styles at first. In life style adjustments, it is indicated that weight control, healthy nutrition and regular physical exercise will prevent the development of type-2 diabetes. If the life style changes are unsuccessful, drugs should be started to be taken (Mayor, 2007). 16.6% of the individuals participating in the study had high risk and 6.4% had very high risk.

Various studies concluded that no difference is present between the genders for type-2 diabetes (Imbeault et al., 2011; Sacerdote et al., 2012). Diabetes frequency is lower in men than women and it is indicated that there is no significant difference between the genders (Guler et al., 2011). In Turkey, type-2 diabetes is also common in men as much as in women (Onat, 2011). Contrary to the literature information, it is found that type-2 diabetes risk in the women participating in the study is significantly higher in comparison to the men.

It is indicated that glucose intolerance, insulin resistance and type-2 diabetes development increase together with the age and type-2 diabetes has a peak value between 60 and 74 (Gong and Muzumdar, 2012). As the age increases; type-2 diabetes frequency also increases (Alberti et al., 2007; Gunasekaran et al., 2011). It is determined that type-2 diabetes risk has significantly increased with the ages of the participants in accordance with the literature.

Table 2. Comparison of the characteristics of the participants and Type 2 Diabetes Risk Scores

Characteristics of the participants	Number (n = 500)	Mean $\pm$ SD	Significance
Gender			
Woman	368	10.8 $\pm$ 5.5	t = 2.645
Man	132	9.4 $\pm$ 5.4	p = 0.008
Age			
Below 35	149	6.6 $\pm$ 4.6	
35 - 44	115	10.1 $\pm$ 4.6	F = 52.277
45 - 54	91	10.8 $\pm$ 4.2	df = 4
55 - 64	77	14.8 $\pm$ 4.9	p = 0.000
Over 64	68	14.0 $\pm$ 5.1	
Marital status			
Married	384	11.1 $\pm$ 5.1	t = 5.243
Single	116	8.1 $\pm$ 6.2	p = 0.000
Educational status			
Illiterate	79	14.5 $\pm$ 4.5	
Literate	30	12.7 $\pm$ 5.1	F = 37.240
Primary school	158	11.5 $\pm$ 5.2	df = 4
Secondary education	120	9.7 $\pm$ 5.0	p = 0.000
University	113	6.4 $\pm$ 4.3	
Working status			
Unemployed	301	11.3 $\pm$ 5.7	t = 4.286
Employed	199	9.2 $\pm$ 5.0	p = 0.000
Income status			
High	116	10.0 $\pm$ 5.4	F = 0.457
Medium	345	10.6 $\pm$ 5.6	df = 2
Low	39	10.6 $\pm$ 4.9	p = 0.633
Smoking status			
Smoker	98	9.4 $\pm$ 5.4	t = -2.173
Non-smoker	402	10.7 $\pm$ 5.5	p = 0.030
Alcohol Use status			
Drinking	26	8.4 $\pm$ 4.7	t = -2.079
Not drinking	474	10.6 $\pm$ 5.5	p = 0.038
Having knowledge about type-2 diabetes			
Have knowledge	223	9.5 $\pm$ 5.7	t = -3.291
Don't have any knowledge	277	11.2 $\pm$ 5.2	p = 0.001

It is specified that there is an inverse relationship between the education status and diabetes incidence (Robbins et al., 2005). Low educational level causes high risk for type-2 diabetes (Imbeault et al., 2011). It is determined that there is a relationship between type-2 diabetes and low educational status (Agardh et al., 2011). Pursuant to the literature, when the educational levels of the participants decrease, type-2 diabetes risk increases significantly.

It is found that diabetes incidence in working women is lower however there is no relationship between the diabetes incidence and working status for men (Robbins et al., 2005).

There is an inverse relationship between the working status and type-2 diabetes (Agardh et al., 2011). In our study, it is determined that type-2 diabetes risk is significantly lower for employed participants.

It is pointed out that type-2 diabetes is commonly seen among the poor people and 2/3 of the diabetes patients all around the world live in low and medium-income countries (Hu, 2011). It is specified that there is an inverse relationship between the income status and diabetes incidence (Robbins et al., 2005). Socio-economic status insufficiency may be a risk factor for type-2 diabetes (Sacerdote et al., 2012). It is determined that there is a relationship between low socio-economic status and type-2 diabetes (Agardh et al., 2011). Type-2 diabetes risk is more in the participants with low and medium income; however the increase is not significant.

It is determined that the relationship between smoking and type-2 diabetes is not clear (Sacerdote et al., 2012). It is indicated that smoking is an independent risk factor for type-2 diabetes and type-2 diabetes development risk increases in smokers (Hu, 2011; Willi et al., 2007). It is specified that smoking may protect the women smoking a lot (11 or more per day) from being overweight and it may be preventive against

diabetes and metabolic syndrome in the future (Onat et al., 2007). It is determined that type-2 diabetes risk in smoking people is significantly low.

Although conducted studies specified that little alcohol usage may decrease type-2 diabetes risk, the clinical data does not support the recommendation of alcohol consumption for the individuals with diabetes risk (Satman et al., 2009). It is indicated that low and moderate level of alcohol consumption decreases type-2 diabetes risk (Hu, 2011). It is found that the participants taking alcohol have significantly low type-2 diabetes risk.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the study, it is determined that the type-2 diabetes risk mean score of the individuals is 10.4 $\pm$ 5.5 and 32.4% had low, 26.6% had slightly-elevated, 18% had moderate, 16.6% had high and 6.4% had very high type-2 diabetes risk. It is found that the type-2 diabetes risk was higher in women who are adults, are married, have low education level, do not work, do not smoke, do not drink alcohol and do not have any knowledge about type-2 diabetes.

At the end of this research, it is recommended: to take the individuals with high and very high type-2 diabetes risk scores to a diabetes prevention program, to prioritize women, old aged participants, married participants, unemployed participants and those having low educational level in the Type 2 Diabetes-prevention program, and to have the nurses use their educational roles actively and efficiently about preventing type 2 diabetes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all patients that participated in our study and Prof. Dr. Metin Gongurmus for his contributions in the field of statistics. The authors have no conflicting financial interests.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Any person or organization does not have any conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Agardh E, Allebeck P, Hallqvist J, Moradi T, Sidorchuk A. Type 2 diabetes incidence and socio-economic position: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40:804-818.
- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. International Diabetes Federation: a consensus on Type 2 diabetes prevention. *Diabet Med*. 2007;24:451-463.
- Anderson JE, Greene MA, Griffin JW, Kohrman DB, Lorber D, Saudek CD, Schatz D, Siminerio L. Diabetes and employment. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35:94-98.
- Borkosky SL, Roukis TS. Incidence of re-amputation following partial first ray amputation associated with diabetes mellitus and peripheral sensory neuropathy: a systematic review. *Diabet Foot Ankle*. 2012;3:12169.
- Cobble ME, Frederich R. Saxagliptin for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: assessing cardiovascular data. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2012;11:6.
- Garduño-Díaz SD, Khokhar S. Prevalence, risk factors and complications associated with type 2 diabetes in migrant South Asians. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2012;28:6-24.
- Gong Z, Muzumdar RH. Pancreatic function, type 2 diabetes, and metabolism in aging. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2012;2012:1-13.
- Grundy SM. Pre-diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59:635-643.
- Guler S, Keskinikılıç B, Yardım N, İmamecioğlu R, Soyulu M, Sarioğlu G, Çobanoğlu N. Action plan. Turkish diabetes prevention and control program action plan (2011-2014). (Ankara, Turkey: Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health, General Directorate of Primary Health Care), 2011.
- Gunasekaran U, Gannon M. Type 2 diabetes and the aging pancreatic beta cell. *Aging*. 2011;3:565-575.
- Hu FB. Globalization of diabetes: the role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34:1249-1257.
- Imbeault P, Haman F, Blais JM, Pal S, Seabert T, Krümmel EM, Robidoux MA. Obesity and type-2 diabetes prevalence in adults from two remote first nations communities in northwestern ontario, Canada. *J Obes*. 2011;2011:267509.
- Kodama K, Horikoshi M, Toda K, Yamada S, Hara K, Irie J, Sirota M, Morgan AA, Chen R, Ohtsu H, Maeda S, Kadowaki T, Butte AJ. Expression-based genome-wide association study links the receptor CD44 in adipose tissue with type 2 diabetes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012;109:7049-7054.
- Mayor S. International Diabetes Federation consensus on prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2007;61:1773-1775.
- Onat A, Hergenç G, Uyarel H, Can G, Ozhan H. Prevalence, incidence, predictors and outcome of type 2 diabetes in Turkey. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg*. 2006;6:314-321.
- Onat A, Ozhan H, Esen AM, Albayrak S, Karabulut A, Can G, Hergenç G. Prospective epidemiologic evidence of a "protective" effect of smoking on metabolic syndrome and diabetes among Turkish women-without associated overall health benefit. *Atherosclerosis*. 2007;193:380-388.
- Onat A. Dynamics in cardiometabolic risk among Turkish adults: Similarities to that in Iranians? *Int J Prev Med*. 2011;2:56-63.
- Robbins JM, Vaccarino V, Zhang H, Kasl SV. Socioeconomic status and diagnosed diabetes incidence. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2005;68:230-236.
- Sacerdote C, Ricceri F, Rolandsson O, Baldi I, Chirlaque MD, Feskens E, Bendinelli B, Ardanaz E, Arriola L, Balkau B, Bergmann M, Beulens JW, Boeing H, Clavel-Chapelon F, Crowe F, de Lauzon-Guillain B, Forouhi N, Franks PW, Gallo V, Gonzalez C, Halkjær J, Illner AK, Kaaks R, Key T, Khaw KT, Navarro C, Nilsson PM, Dal Ton SO, Overvad K, Pala V, Palli D, Panico S, Polidoro S, Quirós JR, Romieu I, Sánchez MJ, Slimani N, Sluijs I, Spijkerman A, Teucher B, Tjønneland A, Tumino R, van der A D, Vergnaud AC, Wennberg P, Sharp S, Langenberg C, Riboli E, Vineis P, Wareham N. Lower educational level is a predictor of incident type 2 diabetes in European countries: the EPIC-InterAct study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2012;41:1162-1173.
- Salopuro TM, Saaristo T, Oksa H, Puolijoki H, Vanhala M, Ebeling T, Niskanen L, Tuomilehto J, Uusitupa M, Peltonen M. Population-level effects of the national diabetes prevention programme (FIN-D2D) on the body weight, the waist circumference, and the prevalence of obesity. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11:350.
- Satman I, İmamoğlu S, Yılmaz C. Diagnosis treatment and follow up guideline for diabetes mellitus and its complications. 6th ed. (Ankara, Turkey: The Society of Endocrinology and Metabolism of Turkey), 2009.
- Shi L, van Meijgaard J, Fielding J. Forecasting diabetes prevalence in California: a microsimulation. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2011;8:A80.
- Thomas MC, Groop PH, Tryggvason K. Towards understanding the inherited susceptibility for nephropathy in diabetes. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2012;21:195-202.
- Waugh N, Scotland G, McNamee P, Gillett P, Brennan A, Goyder E, Williams R, John A. Screening for type 2 diabetes: literature review and economic modelling. *Health Technol Assess*. 2007;11:1-125.
- Willi C, Bodenmann P, Ghali WA, Faris PD, Cornuz J. Active

smoking and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2007;298:2654-2664.

Wu SF, Liang SY, Wang TJ, Chen MH, Jian YM, Cheng KC. A self-management intervention to improve quality of life and psychosocial impact for people with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Nurs*. 2011;20:2655-2665.

Yau JW, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, Lamoureux EL, Kowalski JW, Bek T, Chen SJ, Dekker JM, Fletcher A, Grauslund J, Haffner S, Hamman RF, Ikram MK, Kayama T, Klein BE, Klein R, Krishnaiah S, Mayurasakorn K, O'Hare JP, Orchard TJ, Porta M, Rema M, Roy MS, Sharma T, Shaw J, Taylor H, Tielsch JM, Varma R, Wang JJ, Wang N, West S, Xu L, Yasuda M, Zhang X, Mitchell P, Wong TY. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35:556-564.